

## DIVISIONAL RESPONSES

Division 1 - Division One does not have a Parliamentarian. \*D1 was blessed with Secretary Michael Wertheimer, who kept us on track. This is not in the bylaws.\*\* \*

Division 6 - Division 6 does not have a Parliamentarian on the executive board either. However, we do have an Historian on the board, well-versed in both division and APA by-laws, who sometimes helps to remind us of procedures.

Division 7 - We do not have a Parliamentarian. Our meetings are not so formal that one is required.

Division 10 - Division 10 (Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts) does not have an official parliamentarian. Based on my experience with other organizations, I find them to be helpful.

Division 14 - \*SIOP does not use a Parliamentarian on our Board.  
\*

Division 15 - No to all of these questions for Div. 15

Division 16 - Division 16 does not have a Parliamentarian as part of its Board.

Division 18 - Nor does Div 18, but our Secretary, Mike Neale, is a cracker-jack for his memory of our bylaws and past policies.

Division 19 - Division 19 does have a Parliamentarian. He is listed as a "committee" on our newsletter and he participates in our Executive Committee meetings, but he is not a voting member. Division 19 does not have a "board", but I suppose our Executive Committee might be comparable to a board. The Parliamentarian does not have other duties-- his is a separate position. Our bylaws make no reference to the Parliamentarian position.

Division 20 - D20 has no Parliamentarian.

Division 21 - Division 21 does not have a parliamentarian.

Division 22 - Div 22 does not have a Parliamentarian.

Division 24 - Division 24 does not have a Parliamentarian on the executive board.

Division 25 - No, no and no for Division 25

Division 27 Community - Division 27, SCAR has no parliamentarians on our Executive Committee, and never has had to my knowledge.

Division 28 - Division 28 does not have a Parliamentarian as part of our board.

Division 3 - Division 3 does not have a parliamentarian.

Division 31 - I am writing for division 31: no, none, no.

Division 33 - Div. 33 does not have a Parliamentarian.

Division 35 - Div 35 does not have a parliamentarian. The secretary always has a copy of the handbook, which contains the by-laws, in case we need to check on something.

Division 37 - Division 37 does not have a Parliamentarian on the Board.

Division 39 - So, the three questions are: 1 - Do you have a Parliamentarian as part of your Board - Yes 2 - What is the structure of this role, e.g., does that person have other roles on the board and if so, which one(s) OR is the Parliamentarian a separate position

It is currently filled by a Past President and APA Council Rep. This person can express opinions, but cannot vote. Currently, our Parliamentarian does vote but only because she is also an APA Council Rep. 3 - Do you have something in your bylaws about the Parliamentarian that you would be willing to share.

#### ARTICLE IX -- PARLIAMENTARY AUTHORITY\*

\*Section 1\* \*The Division Bylaws shall be consistent with the Bylaws of the APA.

Section 2\* \*The rules contained in the current edition of Keesey's Parliamentary Procedures and in the Rules of the Division shall govern the Board and Business meetings of the Division in all cases where they are applicable and in which they are not inconsistent with these Bylaws. In cases of disputed interpretation of the correct parliamentary procedures, the opinion of the Parliamentarian, appointed by the President, shall prevail

Second response addendum - Like my predecessors, I've appointed someone who serves on the Board in another role (in this instance, Council Rep) and who has long experience with Board meetings. My rationale is that I don't want to swell an already large board with one more person, and I want someone who is familiar enough with Keesey to be able to give immediate direction when we're unsure of procedure.

Division 42 - Division 42 does not have an official parliamentarian, although some presidents have appointed a parliamentarian to assist with the running of the meeting.

Division 43 - Our bylaws state that "the president shall appoint a parliamentarian," though I must confess that neither myself nor any of my predecessors for some time now have done so.

Division 44 - Division 44 does not have a Parliamentarian on our board.

Division 45 - Division 45 does not have a parliamentarian on the EC.

Division 46 - Division 46 does not use a Parliamentarian. No such role is addressed in our by-laws. Not enough by-laws based issues arise each meeting to warrant this role nor do questions related to parliamentary procedure that we need expertise advice about.

Division 50 - Division 50(Addictions) does not have a Parliamentarian as part of our Board, nor is the position addressed in our bylaws.

Division 51 - Division 51 does not have an official Parliamentarian on our board.

Division 53 - Div 53 does not have a parliamentarian--but we do try to follow parliamentary steps--albeit somewhat informally.

Division 54 Pediatric - Neither division uses a parliamentarian for their meetings.

Division 55 - Div. 55 has no parliamentarian

\*Division 56\*\* - \*(Trauma psychology). We do not have a formal parliamentarian position in our by-laws at this time; in fact, of the divisions in which I've played a leadership role (president of 35 and 44, member at large to exec of 45) none of them have such a person. The only parliamentarian I've encountered in my time in APA is Council's. However, divisions tend to create informal policies maven's, usually the people willing to write policy manuals or chair policy committees.