

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52



# AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION

## **APA Resolution on Outpatient Civil Commitment**

Adopted by the Council of Representatives July 28, 2004

- WHEREAS Outpatient civil commitment (OCC) is increasingly used in courts and civil commitment venues across the country (Swartz & Monahan, 2001);
- WHEREAS People with serious mental illness and resulting psychological disabilities are the individuals most subject to imposition of involuntary mental health services, including OCC (Swartz & Monahan, 2001);
- WHEREAS People with such disabilities have the same rights to personal liberty as other citizens (Stefan, 2001);
- WHEREAS Psychological disabilities may impair the ability of individuals to appreciate threats to their well-being, placing them at risk for homelessness, incarceration, premature death, suicide, and vulnerability to violence (Swartz & Monahan, 2001);
- WHEREAS There is heated controversy about the legitimacy of OCC, the criteria by which it should be applied, the relevant clinical methods and standards of professional practice, and the relative value of alternatives (Petrla, Ridgely & Borum, 2003);
- WHEREAS People with behavior disorders severe enough to reach the dangerousness criterion should have access to psychological and behavioral interventions designed to treat the specific behaviors (Spaulding, Sullivan & Poland, 2003);
- WHEREAS Key issues concerning OCC can be effectively addressed through application of the principles of psychological science and the findings of psychological and psycholegal research (Spaulding, Poland, Elbogen & Ritchie, 2000);
- WHEREAS People with serious mental illness have a right to the resources and services generated by psychological research and practice (Van Houton, Axelrod, Bailey & Favell, 1988);
- WHEREAS All people have a right to the opportunity for recovery, namely, full participation in society to the best of their ability (Stefan, 2001);
- WHEREAS Issues regarding OCC, and broader issues of services for people with serious mental illness, will only be resolved in the context of a comprehensive, accessible, and responsive system of care that focuses on improved quality of life rather than mere symptom reduction (Petrla, Ridgely & Borum, 2003);
- WHEREAS A key ingredient in recovery from serious mental illness is making choices for oneself and developing skills necessary to make those choices (Anthony and Liberman, 1992);
- WHEREAS Clinical application of psychological methods (including neuropsychological, behavioral, sociocognitive, and functional assessments and interventions) hold substantial promise for enhancing skill development, including skills relevant to recovery from serious mental

53 illness and skills relevant to making competent personal choices (Spaulding, Sullivan &  
54 Poland, 2003);  
55

56 WHEREAS Resolution of controversies regarding OCC will require consideration of people's rights;  
57 their uniqueness and diversity; the disabilities associated with serious mental illness; and  
58 the sometimes competing values of personal liberty, public safety, and the public's  
59 interest in providing for those who cannot provide for themselves (Petrla, Ridgely &  
60 Borum, 2003);  
61

62 WHEREAS Women and members of minority racial and ethnic groups are especially vulnerable to  
63 discrimination in adjudication and treatment related to involuntary incarceration and  
64 treatment (Stefan, 1996);  
65

66 WHEREAS Women and members of minority racial and ethnic groups tend to receive less or lower  
67 quality mental health services (Thornicroft, Davides & Leese, 1999);  
68

69 WHEREAS Members of other stigmatized groups may also be vulnerable to discrimination in  
70 adjudication and treatment related to involuntary incarceration and treatment;  
71

72 WHEREAS Involuntary treatment raises special concerns about gender, ethnic or minority status, or  
73 membership in other stigmatized groups for the practitioner (Mindell, 1993; Baker, 1999);  
74

75 WHEREAS It is the role of mental health scientists and practitioners to advocate for due process and  
76 appropriate legal representation and counsel, to advise the court through briefs or  
77 testimony, and to seek therapeutic benefits in application of the law (Daicoff & Wexler,  
78 2003);  
79

80 WHEREAS Mandating involuntary mental health services is a legal, not a clinical process; except in  
81 cases of dire emergency, it is never the role of any mental health practitioner, of any  
82 discipline, acting in the role of caregiver, to make decisions that infringe upon a person's  
83 right to consent to services. Moreover, as specified in the APA Ethics Code,  
84 psychologists are required to respect the rights of individuals to self-determination and  
85 autonomy, and to act in accordance with the requirements for informed consent to  
86 therapy and related procedures.  
87

88 THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that psychologists should continue to promote and engage in  
89 development of evidence-based clinical methods for determining risk and dangerousness, including risk  
90 associated with accepting or not accepting mental health services.  
91

92 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that psychologists should continue to promote and engage in development  
93 of clinical methods for determining competence to make specific judgements and decisions, including  
94 decisions about whether to accept and/or participate in mental health services.  
95

96 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that psychologists should continue to promote and engage in development  
97 of clinical methods for reversing the disabilities of serious mental illness and enhancing recovery,  
98 including those disabilities that incur risk and dangerousness, as well as those disabilities that  
99 compromise competent decisions and choices about accepting and/or participating in mental health  
100 services.  
101

102 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that when people with mental illness exhibit dangerous behavior,  
103 psychologists trained in the direct treatment of behavioral dysfunction can enhance positive outcomes by  
104 providing consultation and treatment for the specific behaviors.  
105

106 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that psychologists should continue to promote and engage in development  
107 of clinical methods that help people participate to the maximum extent in legal processes that affect their  
108 lives.  
109

110 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that psychologists should continue to promote and conduct rigorous  
111 research on the interaction of clinical and legal processes, with the objective of making those processes  
112 maximally accessible to and beneficial for people with serious mental illness.

113  
114 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that psychologists should continue to promote and conduct rigorous  
115 research on the various forms of and alternatives to involuntary commitment, including OCC, limited  
116 guardianship, and advance directives, to determine their relative costs, outcomes, and benefits.

117  
118 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that psychologists should continue to promote and engage in development  
119 of clinical assessment methods that address and inform the legal processes that mandate involuntary  
120 mental health services, and should promote development of legal processes that make optimum use of  
121 information generated by psychological assessments.

122  
123 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that psychologists should continue to support and promote social policy  
124 that ensures accessibility of services that enhance recovery, including comprehensive biopsychosocial  
125 rehabilitation, for all people, regardless of gender or membership in racial, ethnic or other stigmatized and  
126 vulnerable groups.

127  
128 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that psychologists should continue to support and promote professional  
129 training and education in the treatment of serious mental illness, including the ethical, clinical, and legal  
130 considerations involved in involuntary services, and the impact of gender or membership in racial, ethnic  
131 or other stigmatized and vulnerable groups.

132  
133 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that psychologists should continue to support and promote public  
134 education and other strategies for eliminating the prejudice and stigmatization of serious mental illness,  
135 including public attitudes related to involuntary services.

136  
137 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that psychologists should continue to support and promote consumer  
138 empowerment, citizen advocacy, collaboration, and other strategies for enhancing the role of people with  
139 serious mental illness in mental health services, policy, and law.

140  
141 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that psychologists should continue to participate, as scientists,  
142 practitioners, educators and citizens, in the ongoing public discourse that weighs competing values and  
143 imperatives in formulation of law and social policy about involuntary mental health services.

144  
145 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that psychologists should continue to vigorously promote the view that  
146 involuntary services and related infringements on individual liberty can only be mandated through legal  
147 processes, not by mental health professionals acting as caregivers. However, psychologists also should  
148 assertively provide professional expertise and consultation to legal and judicial authorities in order to  
149 ensure that legal processes and decisions are appropriately informed by scientific and clinical  
150 considerations.

151  
152 **References:**

153  
154 Allen, M. & Smith, V.F. (2001). Opening Pandora's box: The practical and legal dangers of involuntary  
155 outpatient commitment. *Psychiatric Services*, 52, 342-346.

156  
157 Anthony, W. A., & Liberman, R. P. (1992). Psychiatric Rehabilitation. In R. P. Liberman (Ed.), *Handbook*  
158 *of psychiatric rehabilitation* (pp. 95-126). New York: Macmillan.

159  
160 Baker, K. (1999) The importance of cultural sensitivity and therapist self-awareness when working with  
161 mandatory clients. *Family Process* 38, 55-67.

162  
163 Daicoff, S. & Wexler, B. (2003) Therapeutic jurisprudence. In A. Goldstein (ed.) *Handbook of psychology:*  
164 *Forensic psychology, Vol. 11* (pp. 561-580).

165  
166 Mindell, J. (1993) *Issues in clinical psychology*. Madison WI: Wm. C. Brown.

167  
168 Morse, S. J. (1987). Treating crazy people less specially. *West Virginia Law Review*, 90, 353-385.

169  
170 Munetz, M.R. & Frese, F.J. (2001). Getting ready for recovery: Reconciling mandatory treatment with the  
171 recovery vision. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, 25, 35-42.  
172  
173 Petrila, J., Ridgely, M.S. & Borum, R. (2003) Debating outpatient commitment: Controversy, trends and  
174 empirical data. *Crime and Delinquency* 49: 157-172.  
175  
176 Reisner, R., Slobogin, C., & Rai, A. (1999). *Law and the mental health system: Civil and criminal aspects*  
177 (3rd ed.). St. Paul, MN: West Group.  
178  
179 Ridgely, M.S., Borum, R., & Petrila, J. (2001). *The effectiveness of involuntary outpatient treatment:*  
180 *Empirical evidence and the experience of eight states*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND.  
181  
182 Saks, E. R., & Behnke, S. H. (1999). Competency to decide on treatment and research: MacArthur and  
183 beyond. *Journal of Contemporary Legal Issues*, 10, pp. 103-129.  
184  
185 Schopp, R. F. (2001). *Competence, Condemnation, and Commitment: An Integrated Theory of Mental*  
186 *Health Law*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.  
187  
188 Schopp, R. F. (2003). Outpatient civil commitment: A dangerous charade or a component of a  
189 comprehensive institution of civil commitment? *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 9, 33-69.  
190  
191 Spaulding, W., Johnson, D., & Coursey, R. (2001). Combined treatments and rehabilitation of  
192 schizophrenia. In M. Sammons & N. B. Schmidt (Eds.), *Combined treatments for mental disorders* (pp.  
193 161-190). Washington DC: American Psychological Association.  
194  
195 Spaulding, W., Poland, J., Elbogen, E., & Ritchie, J. (2000). Therapeutic jurisprudence in psychiatric  
196 rehabilitation. *Thomas M. Cooley Law Review*, 17, 135-170.  
197  
198 Spaulding, W., Sullivan, M., & Poland, J. (2003). *Treatment and rehabilitation of severe mental illness*.  
199 New York: Guilford.  
200  
201 Steadman, H.J., Gounis, K., Dennis, D., Hopper, K., Roche, B., Swartz, M., & Robbins, P.C. (2001).  
202 Assessing the New York City involuntary outpatient commitment pilot program. *Psychiatric Services*, 52,  
203 330-336.  
204  
205 Stefan, S. (1996) Issues relating to women and ethnic minorities in mental health treatment and law. In  
206 B. Sales & D. Shuman (eds.) *Law, mental health, and mental disorder*. Belmont, CA: Brooks Cole  
207 (pp.240-278).  
208  
209 Stefan, S. (2001) The Americans With Disabilities Act and its impact on mental health systems. In S.  
210 Stefan (ed.) *Unequal rights: Discrimination against people with mental disabilities and the Americans With*  
211 *Disabilities Act*. Washington DC: American Psychological Association.  
212  
213 Swartz, M. & Monahan, J. (2001) Special Section on Involuntary Outpatient Commitment: Introduction.  
214 *Psychiatric Services* 52: 323-324.  
215  
216 Swartz, M.S., Swanson, J.W., Hiday, V.A., Wagner, H.R., Burns, B.J., & Borum, R. (2001). A randomized  
217 controlled trial of outpatient commitment in North Carolina. *Psychiatric Services*, 52, 325-329.  
218  
219 Thornicroft, G., Davides, S. & Leese, M. (1999) Health service research and forensic psychiatry: A black  
220 and white case. *International Review of Psychiatry* 11, 250-257.  
221  
222 Van Houton, R., Axelrod, S., Bailey, J. & Favell, J. (1988) The right to effective behavioral treatment.  
223 *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis* 21: 381-384.  
224  
225 Wallace, C.J., Liberman, R.P., Kopelowicz, A., & Yaeger, D. (2001). Psychiatric Rehabilitation. In  
226 Gabbard, G.O. (Ed.), *Treatment of Psychiatric Disorders, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition* (pp. 1093-1112). Washington:  
227 American Psychiatric Publishing Co.

228

229 Winnick, B. (2003) Outpatient commitment: A therapeutic jurisprudence analysis. *Psychology, Public*  
230 *Policy & Law* 9:107-144.

July 2004