Fast Positive Feedback Between the Adrenocortical Stress Response and a Brain Mechanism Involved in Aggressive Behavior

Menno R. Kruk  
Leiden/Amsterdam Center for Drug Research

Wout Meelis  
Leiden/Amsterdam Center for Drug Research

József Haller  
Institute of Experimental Medicine

Aggressive behavior induces an adrenocortical stress response, and sudden stressors often precipitate violent behavior. Experiments in rats revealed a fast, mutual, positive feedback between the adrenocortical stress response and a brain mechanism controlling aggression. Stimulation of the aggressive area in the hypothalamus rapidly activated the adrenocortical response, even in the absence of an opponent and fighting. Hypothalamic aggression, in turn, was rapidly facilitated by a corticosterone injection in rats in which the natural adrenocortical stress response was prevented by adrenalectomy. The rapidity of both effects points to a fast, mutual, positive feedback of the controlling mechanisms within the time frame of a single conflict. Such a mutual facilitation may contribute to the precipitation and escalation of violent behavior under stressful conditions.

Stress is a major factor promoting aggression and violence in humans (Barnett, Fagan, & Booker, 1991; Tardiff, 1992), and aggression has been convincingly correlated with stress in several human situations (Guerra, Huesmann, Tolan, Van Acker, & Eron, 1995; Sanson, Smart, Prior, & Oberklaid, 1993; Vaux & Ruggiero, 1983). Also, control of stress and violent behavior is a priority objective among health authorities (Stone & Kelner, 2000). However, how stress mechanisms and mechanisms involved in aggression interact is only partly understood. Plasma corticosteroids rise fast and early in the course of an agonistic encounter between rats (Schauman, 1980), even before actual aggressive behavior is observed (Haller, Barna, & Baranyi, 1995). There are several reasons to believe that the surge in plasma glucocorticoids caused by the confrontation with a potential adversary plays an important role in the subsequent aggressive conflict. Inhibiting corticosterone synthesis by adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) antiserum or the corticosterone synthesis blocker metyrapone inhibits aggressive behavior (Haller, Kiem, & Makara, 1996; Mikics, Kruk, & Haller, 2004), whereas corticosterone treatment administered to metyrapone-treated rats rapidly reinstates aggressive behavior (Mikics et al., 2004). Also, injecting corticosteroids into the hypothalamus of the golden hamster rapidly facilitates aggression (Hayden-Hixson & Ferris, 1991). These findings suggest that aggressive behavior is facilitated by the corticosteroids that are secreted in an anticipatory response to the social challenge itself, even before actual fighting starts. This concept implies a mutual stimulatory interaction between mechanisms that control brain areas involved in aggression and the stress response. However, the contribution of aggression-related brain mechanisms to the adrenocortical stress response cannot be assessed in a paradigm such as “spontaneous” territorial conflict, because the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis is activated by behavioral activation per se. Moreover, the activation of the HPA axis strongly depends on the behavior of the opponent (Haller et al., 1996).

Aggression can also be evoked by direct electrical activation of the hypothalamic attack area of the rat (Halász, Liposits, Meelis, Kruk, & Haller, 2002; King & Hoebel, 1968; Koolhaas, 1978; Koolhaas & Wiepkema, 1976; Kruk, 1991; Kruk et al., 1983, 1984, 1998; Kruk, Meelis, Van der Poel, & Mos, 1981; Kruk, Van der Poel, & de Vos-Frerichs, 1979; Lammers, Kruk, Meelis, & Van der Poel, 1988a; Mos et al., 1983; Panksepp, 1971; Panksepp & Trowill, 1969; Roberts & Nagel, 1996; Siegel, Roeling, Gregg, & Kruk, 1999; Vergnes & Karli, 1969, 1970; Woodworth, 1971). Hypothalamic aggression has been used to trace the network involved in the control of aggression (Halász et al., 2002; Roberts & Nagel, 1996; Roeling et al., 1994). A similar type of neural organization seems to be involved in different species (see, e.g., Kruk, 1991 and Siegel et al., 1999, for a review of earlier studies in the cat and rat).

The paradigm of hypothalamic attack has several useful characteristics for the study of the adrenocortical stress response in aggressive behavior. It has successfully been used to quantify
effects of hormones and drugs on brain mechanisms involved in aggression as changes in the threshold current intensity required to evoke attacks (Bermond, Mos, Meelis, Van der Poel, & Kruk, 1982; Katz & Thomas, 1976; Kruk, 1991; Kruk et al., 1984; MacDonnell & Fesso, 1969; Mos, Olivier, Van Oorschot, & Dijkstra, 1984; Olivier, Mos, & Rasmussen, 1990; Siegel et al., 1999; Van der Poel et al., 1982).

Stimulation-evoked aggression in this paradigm requires the presence of an opponent (Kruk, 1991; Kruk et al., 1979; Levinson & Flynn, 1965; Siegel et al., 1999). This characteristic was used here to study the adrenocortical response of activating an aggressive brain mechanism in fighting and not-fighting rats. In addition, the hypothalamic paradigm is well suited to study fast effects of hormones because it allows precise control over the timing of attacks. Aggression is elicited within seconds after stimulation onset, and it stops immediately when stimulation stops. Moreover, it is relatively insensitive to changes in opponent behavior (Kruk, 1991; Kruk et al., 1979; Siegel et al., 1999). The impact of the behavior of the opponent can be further minimized by anesthetizing them with a high dose of morphine, as hypothalamically stimulated rats—in contrast to spontaneously attacking ones (Kruk, Hálasz, Haller, & Bot, 2002)—readily attack such anesthetized opponents (Siegel et al., 1999). Recently, it was shown that such stimulation basically activates the same brain areas as territorial aggression (Hálasz, Liposits, Kruk, & Haller, 2002; Hálasz, Liposits, Meelis, et al., 2002).

The aim of the present experiments was to test the hypothesis of a mutual stimulatory interaction between brain mechanisms controlling aggressive behavior and the stress response. We stimulated the hypothalamic attack area of rats in both the presence and the absence of an opponent, and assessed whether HPA axis activation was due to behavioral activation or to the activation of aggression-related brain mechanisms. We also studied the effects of acute corticosterone treatments on the threshold of attacks, that is, on the responsiveness of the hypothalamic attack area to stimulation. The behavioral specificity of adrenalectomy and corticosterone injection effects was assessed by determining their effects on thresholds for hypothalamic teeth-chattering evoked in the hypothalamic aggressive area. Teeth-chattering is evoked from a medial hypothalamic area that partially overlaps with the hypothalamic attack area (Lammers et al., 1988a). It has a similar behavioral pharmacology (Kruk, 1991; Van der Poel et al., 1982) and is a clear sign of distress in the rat.

**Method**

**Subjects**

Experimental subjects, male Wistar rats weighing 350–400 g at the start of the experiments, were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (via Broekman, Veldhoven, the Netherlands). Each rat was allowed to recover from transportation for at least 1 week, fed on standard laboratory food, and given free access to tap water. Temperature was maintained at 22 ± 1 °C, and humidity was 60 ± 10%. Rats were housed in groups of 10 before electrode implantation and in individual cages thereafter. Opponents were male rats from the same supplier and were maintained under similar conditions. Their weight was 250–300 g. Opponents were used only once, and they received an intraperitoneal injection of morphine (10 mg/kg) 20 min before encounters to produce profound sedation and analgesia during attacks. The experiments were all performed in the active (dark) phase of both stimulated rats and their opponents. A 12:12-hr inverted day–night schedule was imposed on the rats, with lights on at 0000. All experiments were approved by the Ethical Committee on Animal Experimentation of Leiden University, in accordance with Dutch laws on animal experimentation.

**Electrode Implantation**

Electrode implantation and stimulation were performed as described in Kruk et al. (1979). In brief, male adult Wistar rats were deeply anesthetized by an intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of midazolam, atropine, and Hypnorm (0.5 mg/kg, 1.0 mg/kg, and 1 ml/kg body weight, respectively). Bipolar electrodes were implanted at the coordinates RC −1.9, ML 1.0, DV 8.2 from bregma. Electrodes and connectors were kept in place by dental carboxylate cement covered by acrylic dental cement and anchored to the skull by stainless steel screws. After electrode implantation, the rats were singly housed in Macrolon cages. They were allowed to recover for at least 1 week. Electrodes for teeth-chattering were implanted at the same coordinates as used for attack, by the same surgical procedures.

**Threshold Determinations**

Behavioral testing took place outside the home cage in a test cage (50 cm long × 60 cm wide × 100 cm high) with a glass front wall. Subjects could move around freely, in no way hampered by the connecting wires. An opponent anesthetized with morphine (10 mg/kg) was introduced into the cage before stimulation. Each opponent was used only once and was killed with an overdose of Nembutal immediately after a threshold determination. The attack threshold current intensity was determined by means of the up-and-down method of Wetherill (1966). In brief, electrical stimulations with a train duration of 10 s were delivered. Trains were separated by 50-s pauses. Forty-hertz biphasic pulses with phase duration of 0.2 ms and a phase interval of 12.3 ms were used. If attack behavior was observed within the 10 s of stimulation, the intensity of the next train was lowered by 20 μA. If no attack occurred, stimulation intensity of the next train was increased by 20 μA. A threshold determination was completed when six current intensity-related changes from attack to no attack, or from no attack to attack, were obtained in response to stimulation. This procedure lasted less than 20 min. From the current intensities at which these six response changes occurred, the threshold for attack was estimated according to the method of Wetherill (1966). After the completion of experiments, the position of the electrode tip was verified by histological procedures as described elsewhere (Lammers, Meelis, Kruk, & Van der Poel, 1987). Only rats having the electrode tip located within the hypothalamic attack area showed attacks (Kruk et al., 1983; Lammers et al., 1988a). Stable baseline thresholds of a specific electrode in an individual rat can be obtained after three threshold determinations on subsequent days (Kruk et al., 1979). Attack thresholds stabilize somewhere between 30 and 120 μA, depending on the precise electrode position within the attack area. Teeth-chattering thresholds were determined by the same procedures and stimulation parameters as used for the attack thresholds. However, no opponent was present, and hence no aggressive behavior was evoked. Teeth-chatter thresholds stabilize between 20 and 30 μA.

**Corticosterone Manipulations**

Adrenalectomy was performed under ether anesthesia between 0900 and 1200, via the dorsal approach. A corticosterone pellet (25 mg corticosterone and 75 mg cholesterol) was implanted subcutaneous immediately after adrenalectomy to avoid neuronal death that is observed in nonreplaced adrenalectomized rats (MacLennan, Smith, & Darlington, 1998). As previously shown, such pellets maintain plasma corticosterone levels of about 90–100 nmol/L for 3 weeks (Haller, Van de Schraaf, & Kruk, 2001). This level corresponds to approximately 30% of the normal levels observed in
Experimental Design

Hormone Measurements

Levels of ACTH and corticosterone were assessed by specific and direct radioimmunoassays (RIAs) as described previously (Zelena et al., 2003). In brief, ACTH and corticosterone antibodies were raised in rabbits in our laboratory. Tracers were iodinated by the chloramine-T method, and 50 µl or 10 µl plasma aliquots were assayed in the ACTH or corticosterone RIA, respectively. In this study, 25-µl aliquots of medium were assayed after appropriate dilution by RIA buffer.

Experimental Design

Experiment 1 determined the effects of hypthalamic stimulation on HPA axis activation (n = 11). Rats were implanted with electrodes aimed at the hypothalamic attack area. After 1 week of recovery, rats were submitted to three threshold determinations, which were separated by 2 days. In each of these threshold determinations, a naive opponent was present. Blood was sampled immediately before and after threshold determination by the tail incision technique (Flurtert, Dalm, & Oitzl, 2000). Plasma was separated by centrifugation and was kept at −20 °C in EDTA-coated vials until hormone measurements.

Experiment 2 determined the effect of fighting on the attack area stimulation-induced activation of the HPA axis (n = 6). Rats were implanted with electrodes as described above. After 1 week of recovery, they were confronted with an opponent in the stimulation cage, and the thresholds of attack were determined. Two days later, the rats were reintroduced into the stimulation cage and stimulated in the absence of an opponent, in accordance with the same stimulation protocol used to determine the previous attack threshold in the same rats, when there was an opponent present to attack. That is, for each rat, the precise pattern of its stimulation during the first threshold determination was repeated during the second threshold determination, but the second time no opponent was present and no attack or other overt behavioral effects where observed during stimulation. Two days later, the same rats were again reintroduced to the stimulation cage, but in this case, they were not stimulated and there was no opponent present. They stayed in the empty stimulation cage for a duration equal to that of the previous threshold determination. In this experiment rats served as their own controls; the design—stimulating the rat in absence of an opponent with exactly the same stimulations that were used to determine the thresholds in the presence of an opponent—did not allow for a design with a balanced order of treatments. Plasma was sampled and stored before and after each session, as in Experiment 1.

Experiment 3 assessed the effects of a surge in plasma corticosterone on attack thresholds (n = 14). Rats were implanted with electrodes, and after a recovery of 1 week, three attack thresholds were determined at 2-day intervals. As shown by Experiments 1 and 2, attack area stimulation produced high stress levels of plasma glucocorticoids. Therefore, rats were adrenalectomized and implanted with low-release corticosterone pellets, immediately after the last threshold determination, to avoid interference from endogenous corticosterone production. After another week of recovery, three attack thresholds were determined at 2-day intervals. No treatment was applied before the first threshold determination; an acute corticosterone treatment was applied 10 min before the second threshold determination, whereas the third threshold determination was preceded by a vehicle injection. An injection of 0.25 mg/kg HBC corticosterone produces a transient increase in circulating corticosterone similar to the natural corticosterone response to a stressor (Haller et al., 2001).

Experiment 4 assessed the duration of corticosterone effects on attack thresholds (n = 15). Rats were implanted with electrodes aimed at the hypothalamic attack area, and after 1 week of recovery, their attack thresholds were assessed in three tests performed 2 days apart. Rats were adrenalectomized and, after another week of recovery, were submitted to four threshold determinations. Each threshold was preceded by a control or corticosterone injection. Corticosterone injections were administered 10, 60, or 240 min before, whereas control (vehicle HBC) injections were administered 10 min before, attack threshold determinations. Treatment order was randomized over the group.

Experiment 5 assessed the effects of adrenalectomy and mimicking the adrenocortical stress response by an acute injection of corticosterone on hypothalamic teeth-chattering thresholds (n = 7). Teeth-chattering was evoked within the hypothalamic attack area at the same coordinates and with same stimulation parameters as used in the experiments on hypothalamic attack. However, there was no opponent present during teeth-chattering threshold determinations, and no aggressive behavior was observed. The conditions HBC—corticosterone and HBC alone were presented in balanced order. Rats served as their own controls. One day after the completion of the experiment, blood samples were taken by tail incision (Flurtert et al., 2000) to confirm the success of the adrenalectomy procedure.

Statistics

Corticosterone data were expressed as mean (±SEM). Changes in attack thresholds (Experiment 3 and 4) were expressed as percentage of baseline, that is, the last preadrenalectomy level. This approach was used because attack thresholds show slight individual variation that is probably due to slight individual differences in the location of the electrode within the attack area (Kruk et al., 1983; Lammers, 1988a). Therefore, values obtained in experimental tests were compared with values obtained in the same rat in preliminary tests. STATISTICA (2001) Version 6.0 was used for a repeated measures analysis of corticosterone levels, ACTH concentrations, and behavioral threshold changes. Dunnett’s test was used for post hoc comparisons.

Results

Adrenocortical Response to Stimulation in the Hypothalamic Attack Area

In Experiment 1, stimulation of the attack area in intact rats increased circulating ACTH, F(1, 30) = 89.10, p < .0001 (see Figure 1A). The response did not change over three subsequent attack threshold determinations, F(2, 30) = 0.80, p < .4; interaction, F(2, 30) = 0.33, p < .70. Stimulation of the attack area also rapidly increased circulating corticosterone, F(1, 30) = 249.20, p < .0001 (see Figure 1B). The level after stimulation did not change over three subsequent attack threshold determinations, F(2, 30) = 2.11, p < .20. However, there was an interaction between the treatment order and the response, as a result of an increased corticosterone level before the third threshold determination, F(2, 30) = 4.93, p < .02, whereas there was no corresponding increase in ACTH in the same rats (Figure 1A).

In Experiment 2, the adrenocortical response of another group of rats was determined under three different conditions to assess the importance of the presence or absence of an opponent on the stimulation-induced stress response (see Figures 1C and 1D). Placement of these rats in the stimulation cage with neither stimulation nor opponent served as a control. Levels of ACTH changed significantly before and after experimental treatments, F(1, 15) =
Treatment condition was also a significant factor, $F(2, 15) = 7.95, p < .005$. There was a significant interaction between treatment and response, $F(2, 15) = 13.28, p < .0005$. Figure 1C and post hoc testing show that stimulation in the presence of an opponent produced the same ACTH response as stimulation in the absence of an opponent (Dunnett’s $p = .90$). Placement in the stimulation cage without stimulation produced a much smaller ACTH response. This response differs significantly from the stimulation-with-opponent and stimulation-without-opponent treatments (Dunnett’s $p < .001, p < .003$, respectively).

Corticosterone levels changed significantly before and after experimental treatments, $F(1, 15) = 234.10, p < .0001$. Treatment condition was also significant, $F(2, 15) = 11.77, p < .0008$. There was a significant interaction between treatment and response, $F(2, 15) = 14.07, p < .0003$.

Figure 1D and post hoc testing show that stimulation in the presence of an opponent produced the same corticosterone response as stimulation in the absence of an opponent (Dunnett’s $p = .90$). Placement in the stimulation cage without stimulation produced a much smaller corticosterone response. This response differs significantly from the stimulation-with-opponent and stimulation-without-opponent treatments (Dunnett’s $p < .0008, p < .0007$, respectively).

These results demonstrate that activating the hypothalamic aggressive area is in itself a sufficient condition to obtain a considerable adrenocortical response. The confrontation with an oppo-
Rapid Facilitation of Attack

In Experiment 3 (see Figure 2A), the rapid increase in plasma corticosterone observed after stimulation of the hypothalamic attack area was mimicked by the intraperitoneal injection of corticosterone to adrenalectomized rats. Peak levels of plasma corticosterone obtained by injection corresponded with the levels reached in stimulated rats with intact adrenals (basal levels in uninjected rats: 121.4 ± 14.3 nmol/L; 10 min after injection: 1,139.2 ± 88.3 nmol/L; 30 min after injection: 198.8 ± 35.9 nmol/L). Such injections given 10 min before the start of the determination of an attack threshold facilitated hypothalamic attack behavior by 30% compared with the threshold after a vehicle injection in the same rats: repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA), F(2, 26) = 6.31, p < .0058. Thresholds following corticosterone injection differ significantly from no treatment and vehicle treatment at p < .024 and p < .0018, respectively.

In Experiment 4 (see Figure 2B), adrenalectomized rats were assigned to four treatment conditions in a random order, after which attack thresholds were determined. There is a significant effect of treatment: repeated measures ANOVA, F(3, 18) = 4.01, p < .023. Only corticosterone injections given 10 min before the attack threshold determination differed significantly from vehicle injections (p < .0037). Post hoc analysis showed that only corticosterone injected 10 min before encounters induced a significant decrease in attack thresholds compared with vehicle injections. These data show that an acute surge in plasma corticosterone facilitated attack behavior in this model by reducing the current intensity necessary for the induction of attack.

In Experiment 5, teeth-chattering thresholds (22.6 μA on average) were generally lower than attack thresholds (approximately 90 μA). Adrenalectomy successfully reduced circulating corticosterone to 32.1 ± 9.3 nmol/L. Figure 3 shows that neither adrenalectomy nor an acute injection of corticosterone had any effect on teeth-chattering thresholds evoked by electrical stimulation of the hypothalamic attack area: repeated measures ANOVA, F(3, 18) = 1.34, p < .29. There was no evidence of any order of treatment (i.e., vehicle or vehicle + corticosterone first) effects.

Discussion

The results show that the stimulation of the hypothalamic attack area in rats induces strong HPA axis activation. This response is due to the stimulation per se, and not to the associated fighting, as it is preserved in the absence of an opponent, that is, when rats do not show overt signs of behavioral activation. On the other hand, an experimentally induced acute surge in corticosterone facilitates the aggressive response to hypothalamic stimulation. The effect lasts less than 1 hr. That is, it seems related to the presence of corticosterone, because corticosterone levels decreased sharply within 30 min after injection. Taken together, these results strongly suggest mutual stimulatory interaction between brain mechanisms involved in attack and the stress response. The activation of the brain mechanism controlling attack induces the activation of the HPA axis per se, whereas the activation of the HPA axis increases the sensitivity to stimulation of the mechanism activated via the hypothalamic attack area. See Figure 4 for a schematic presentation of this concept.

The proaggressive effect of acute corticosteroids surges has been demonstrated before in mice (Brain & Haug, 1992), rats (Haller et al., 1997; Mikics et al., 2004), and hamsters (Hayden-Hixson & Ferris, 1991). Increased HPA axis reactivity was shown to correlate with certain types of human aggressive behavior as well (Guerra et al., 1995; Sanson et al., 1993; Vaux & Ruggiero, 1983). Conversely, aggressive behavior was shown to induce HPA axis activation (Haller et al., 1995; Schuurman, 1980). However, the relationship between aggressive behavior and the adrenocortical stress response remains poorly understood, because in experiments on spontaneous aggression it is difficult to distinguish between the distinct contributions of neuronal and behavioral consequences of social challenges to the adrenocortical stress response. In spontaneous aggression, the effect on the HPA axis could be due either to behavioral activation (fights) or to the activation of brain mechanisms involved in aggression. The hypothalamic attack paradigm allows distinguishing between these different contributions to adrenocortical activation. The effect of electrical stimulation on the HPA axis in the presence and absence of an opponent was virtually the same, demonstrating that fighting is not required to activate the HPA axis. Such a mechanism may well function in spontaneously attacking rats, as we have shown earlier that the hypothalamic attack area is strongly activated during territorial conflicts (Halász, Liposits, Kruk, et al., 2002). Classical stimulation and lesion studies (see Siegel et al., 1999, for a review) suggest the existence of an attack-stimulating axis, the main elements of which are the medial amygdala, the hypothalamic centers controlling affective aggression, and the periaque-
ductal gray. During spontaneous aggression (e.g., territorial conflict) the hypothalamic attack area is also activated (Halász, Liposits, Kruk, & Haller, 2002; Haller, Liposits, Meelis, et al., 2002). During such conflicts, the increased activity in the hypothalamic attack area probably also facilitates the adrenocortical stress response.

The precise neuronal and molecular mechanisms underlying the attack area-mediated corticosterone response are still unknown. The projections from the hypothalamic attack area to the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (Roeling et al., 1994) could possibly mediate the interaction. But whether these sparse projections are sufficient to induce the activation of the corticotropin-releasing factor neurons in the paraventricular nucleus is not known. However, the dense varicosities on fibers projecting from the hypothalamic attack area in many directions (Roeling et al., 1994), and the equally dense varicosities on corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) fibers projecting from the paraventricular nucleus through the attack area (Makara, 1985), suggest that the mechanism mediating aggressive responses may interact, directly at the level of the hypothalamus, with the mechanism regulating the adrenocortical stress response. Alternatively, both the adrenocortical stress response to stimulation and the facilitation of the aggressive response could be caused by other intrahypothalamic mechanisms. Central vasopressin facilitates the adrenocortical stress response, and vasopressin receptor blockade in the anterior hypothalamus suppresses aggression in golden hamster (Ferris & Potegal, 1988). However, the observed interaction may also be caused by extrahypothalamic mechanisms. Efferent fibers of the attack area reach the main aminergic nuclei (Roeling et al., 1994). These nuclei in turn project to neuroendocrine stress mechanisms (Grino, Paulmyer-Lacroix, Faudon, Renard, & Anglade, 1994; Szafarczyk, Alonso, Ixart, Malaval, & Assenmacher, 1985) and may mediate the observed stress response. Hypothalamic aggression is selectively sensitive to serotonergic drugs and the beta-blocker propranolol (Kruk, 1991). The stimulation-induced adrenocortical stress response may be solely due to the classical neuroendocrine pathway via CRH and ACTH. However, there is evidence suggesting that the response may be facilitated by other pathways. Direct stimulation of the adrenocortical stress response in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus produces the same corticosterone response as stimulation of the attack area. The ACTH response to attack area stimulation was much smaller, suggesting the involvement of another facilitating mechanism in the case of the attack area (Kruk et al., 1998). Vasopressin-mediated facilitation of the adrenocortical response may be involved (Ferris & Potegal, 1988), or a direct neural pathway that changes the responsiveness of the adrenals to stimulation by ACTH.

The observation that rapid changes in circulating corticosteroids exert a fast facilitating feedback control on a brain mechanism directly mediating aggressive behavior has several interesting implications. The high stress levels of glucocorticoids, rapidly produced by the challenge-induced activation of brain mechanisms controlling aggression, may in turn produce a fast facilitation of

Figure 3. Absence of effects of adrenalectomy (ADX) and acute corticosteroid (Cort) injections on mean (± SE) teeth-chattering evoked from the hypothalamic attack area. Veh = vehicle.

Figure 4. Graphic representation depicting proposed hypothetical mechanisms involved in the relationship between attack-controlling brain mechanisms and the adrenocortical stress response. HPA = hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal; PVN = paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus.
the very same brain mechanisms (see Figure 4). Such mutual facilitation could constitute a vicious circle, which would explain why aggressive behavior escalates so easily, and why it is so difficult to stop once it has started, especially because corticosteroids rapidly pass the blood–brain barrier. Short-lasting facilitation of aggression by previous hypothalamic stimulation has been reported in the cat (Sledjesky & Flynn, 1972) and in the rat (Kruk et al., 1981), with a half-life of approximately 12 and 8 s, respectively. Longer lasting facilitation, called priming, has also been demonstrated in territorial aggression—a more “natural” paradigm than hypothalamic aggression—in the golden hamster and the rat (Potegal, 1992; Potegal & Coombes, 1995). This longer lasting facilitation is associated with c-Fos activation of the amygdala (Potegal, Ferris, Hebert, Meyerhof, & Skaredoff, 1996). The amygdala is strongly activated by stimulation of the hypothalamic attack area. Moreover, c-Fos activity in the amygdala of the rat during aggression is under corticosteroid control (Halász, Liposits, Kruk, & Haller, 2002; Halász, Liposits, Meelis, et al., 2002).

Our findings also suggest that rapid increases in corticosteroids caused by stressors unrelated to fighting may precipitate violent behavior by lowering thresholds for attack. This concept also implies that an anticipatory increase in corticosterone in environments previously associated with aggression could possibly lead to place-dependent violent habits in individuals who are nonviolent in other settings. Previous aggression is also known to facilitate subsequent aggressive behavior in humans (Tardiff, 1992). Also, persons hospitalized for hostility have deviant stress responses to serotonergic challenges (Coccaro, Kavoussi, & Hauger, 1995; Rinne, Westerberg, Den Boer, & Van den Brink, 2000).

In our opinion, behavioral constructs such as “general arousal” caused by corticosteroids or changes in “aversive or hedonic quality” of the stimulation do not provide plausible explanations for the observed facilitation of the behaviorally distinct and directly observable response to hypothalamic attack. First, corticosterone does not change the threshold for the concomitant behavioral response of teeth-chattering (Figure 3). Teeth-chattering is a clear sign of distress that is experienced by the rat in many different aversive settings. Corticosterone inhibits stimulation-induced hypothalamic flight (Kruk et al., 2002). Second, corticosterone also facilitates territorial fighting in rats in a very specific way (Halász, Liposits, Kruk, & Haller, 2002; Haller et al., 1995). Last, whether the effects of corticosterone on hypothalamic aggression are mediated by an effect on the hedonic properties of stimulation is still an open question. However, neither the aversive nor the rewarding properties of hypothalamic stimulation predict the ability to elicit aggressive behavior by means of an electrode (Herndon, Adrian, & McCoy, 1979; Kruk, 1991; Kruk et al., 1984; Roberts & Kiess, 1964), although the rewarding properties of hypothalamic stimulation may also operate under more naturalistic conditions in other animal species and in humans.

Treatment of pathological violence and lack of impulse control in humans is notoriously difficult. The same applies to hypothalamic attack behavior, which was used as a psychopharmacological and behavioral paradigm to study pathological violence (Kruk, 1991; Olivier et al., 1990). The results presented here indicate that the adrenocortical stress response that accompanies conflict may effectively cancel out the effect of therapies intended to reduce violent behavior. Therefore, regulation of the adrenocortical stress response may offer a novel approach to the understanding and control of violent behavior. Agents, which have an anti-stress action (e.g., neurosteroids, Reddy & Kulkarni, 1996; corticotropin-releasing factor antagonists, Heinrichs et al., 1994), and certain anxiolytics, which reduce different stress-induced behaviors (Korte, Koolhaas, Schuurman, Traber, & Bohus, 1990), may also be effective in counteracting acute stress-precipitated violence.
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