

Links to Software for Covariance-Based SEM

Stand-Alone Commercial Products

Amos, <https://www.ibm.com/products/structural-equation-modeling-sem>

EQS, <http://www.mvsoft.com/>

LISREL, <https://www.ssicentral.com/index.php/product/lisrel>

Mplus, <https://www.statmodel.com/>

Commercial Products for General Statistical Analyses with SEM Procedures

SAS/STAT, https://www.sas.com/en_us/software/stat.html

Stata, <https://www.stata.com/>

SYSTAT, <https://systatsoftware.com/>

Freely-Available Tools with Graphical User Interfaces

JASP, <https://jasp-stats.org/>

Onyx, <https://www.statista.com/>

Packages for the R Computing Environment

R, <https://www.r-project.org/>

General Latent Variable Modeling

lavaan, <https://cran.r-project.org/package=lavaan>

OpenMx, <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=OpenMx>

sem, <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=sem>

Methods for Continuous, Censored, and Ordinal Outcomes and Graph Analysis

lava, <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lava>

Plot Model Diagrams

semPlot, <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=semPlot>

Simulation for Power Analysis and Model Fit Assessment

simsem, <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=simsem>

Tools for Testing Measurement Models and Power Analysis

semTools, <https://cran.r-project.org/package=semTools>

Annotated lavaan and semTools Input for Detailed Example¹

```
# brydges, ozolnieks, & roberts (2017) final model
# journal of neuropsychology, 11(3), 362-377
# https://doi.org/10.1111/jnp.12096
# observed variables, lowercase
# common factor, sentence case
date()

library(lavaan)
library(semTools)
options("width"=130)

# input the correlations from brydges et al. in lower diagonal form
brydgesLower.cor <- '
  1
  .02 1
  .04 .43 1
 -.04 .29 .50 1
 -.24 -.11 -.30 -.14 1
 -.11 -.11 -.31 -.25 .12 1
 -.05 -.04 -.16 -.07 .27 .09 1
 -.17 -.20 -.32 -.29 .31 .20 .24 1 '

brydgesFull.cor <- getCov(brydgesLower.cor, names = c("adhd", "simple",
```

```

"choice2","choice4","digits","blocks","span","fluid"))
# add the standard deviations and convert to covariances
brydgesFull.cov <- cor2cov(brydgesFull.cor, sds = c(11.63,
  26.22,71.31,80.18,2.90,1.64,1.04,4.48))
# add the means
brydgesMeans = c(31.66,318.04,505.81,475.13,6.47,9.02,4.83,27.23)
# means are not analyzed here
# display covariances and means
brydgesFull.cov
brydgesMeans
# specify final model
brydges.model <- '
# measurement model
  Speed =~ simple + choice2 + choice4
  Memory =~ span + digits + blocks
# structural model
  Speed ~ a*adhd
  Memory ~ c*adhd
  fluid ~ b*Speed + d*Memory + e*adhd
  Speed ~~ Memory
# indirect effects of adhd on fluid
  ab := a*b
  cd := c*d
# total indirect effect of adhd on fluid
  totind := a*b + c*d
# total effect of adhd on fluid

```

```

total := e + a*b + c*d '
# fit model to data
model <- sem(brydges.model, sample.cov = brydgesFull.cov,
  sample.nobs = 142)
# display post-analysis results
summary(model, fit.measures = TRUE, standardized = TRUE,
  rsquare = TRUE)
parameterEstimates(model)
standardizedSolution(model)
fitted(model)
residuals(model, type = "raw")
# some results for standardized residuals are invalid
residuals(model, type = "standardized")
residuals(model, type = "normalized")
residuals(model, type = "cor")
# estimate power of chi-square test
# null value is rmsea = 0
# alternative value is .068
# rmsea = SQRT ((26.296 - 16)/(141 * 16)) = .068
# where 26.296 is critical chi-square (16) at .05
findRMSEApower(0, .068, 16, 142, .05, 1)
# determine minimum sample size for power = .90
findRMSEAsamplesize(0, .068, 16, .90, .05, 1)

```

Annotated lavaan and semTools Output for Detailed Example

```

> # fit model to data
> model <- sem(brydges.model, sample.cov = brydgesFull.cov,

```

```

+ sample.nobs = 142)
>
> # display post-analysis results
> summary(model, fit.measures = TRUE, standardized = TRUE,
+ rsquare = TRUE)

```

lavaan 0.6-6 ended normally after 359 iterations

Estimator	ML
Optimization method	NLMINB
Number of free parameters	19
Number of observations	142

**Target model passes the chi-square test of exact fit;
that is, model-data discrepancy does not exceed zero
(exact fit) at the .05 level.**

Model Test User Model:

Test statistic	14.847
Degrees of freedom	16
P-value (Chi-square)	0.536

**Model chi-square test for baseline model, which assumes
all variables are independent; the baseline model fails
the exact fit test, which is not a surprise.**

Model Test Baseline Model:

Test statistic	159.545
Degrees of freedom	28
P-value	0.000

User Model versus Baseline Model:

CFI and TLI compare target model with baseline model,

value of TLI is out-of-bounds (> 1.0), so CFI is reported.

Comparative Fit Index (CFI)	1.000
-----------------------------	-------

Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI)	1.015
--------------------------	-------

Likelihood criteria would be more relevant for comparing alternative models fit to the same data, which does not apply in this analysis of a single model.

Loglikelihood and Information Criteria:

Loglikelihood user model (H0)	-3466.848
-------------------------------	-----------

Loglikelihood unrestricted model (H1)	-3459.424
---------------------------------------	-----------

Akaike (AIC)	6971.695
--------------	----------

Bayesian (BIC)	7027.856
----------------	----------

Sample-size adjusted Bayesian (BIC)	6967.738
-------------------------------------	----------

RMSEA with its 90% confidence interval.

Root Mean Square Error of Approximation:

RMSEA	0.000
-------	-------

90 Percent confidence interval - lower	0.000
--	-------

90 Percent confidence interval - upper	0.072
--	-------

The model passes a test of close fit based on the RMSEA, that is, model-data discrepancy does not exceed the bounds of close fit, where "close fit" means $\chi^2 \leq df$.

P-value RMSEA ≤ 0.05	0.822
---------------------------	-------

Standardized Root Mean Square Residual:

SRMR	0.044
------	-------

Parameter Estimates:

Standard errors	Standard
-----------------	----------

Information	Expected
-------------	----------

Information saturated (h1) model Structured

Unstandardized and standardized parameter estimates with results of significance tests; confidence intervals are listed in a later section.

The completely standardized solution (Std.all) where all variables--factors, indicators, and error terms--are standardized--is reported in the chapter.

In the Std.lv solution, only the factors are standardized.

If all observed variables have meaningful raw score metrics, the solution Std.lv may be preferred over Std.all.

Latent Variables:

Estimate	Std.Err	z-value	P(> z)	Std.lv	Std.all		
Speed =~							
simple		1.000			12.722	0.487	
choice2		4.847	1.049	4.622	0.000	61.667	0.868
choice4		3.665	0.794	4.617	0.000	46.623	0.584
Memory =~							
span		1.000			0.329	0.317	
digits		4.533	1.591	2.848	0.004	1.490	0.515
blocks		1.856	0.733	2.532	0.011	0.610	0.373

Regressions:

Estimate	Std.Err	z-value	P(> z)	Std.lv	Std.all		
Speed ~							
adhd	(a)	0.033	0.103	0.323	0.747	0.003	0.030
Memory ~							
adhd	(c)	-0.010	0.005	-2.216	0.027	-0.032	-0.368

fluid ~

Speed	(b)	0.037	0.135	0.272	0.786	0.467	0.105
Memory	(d)	9.752	7.491	1.302	0.193	3.205	0.718
adhd	(e)	0.035	0.080	0.437	0.662	0.035	0.091

Error covariance for the speed and memory factors.

Covariances:

Estimate	Std.Err	z-value	P(> z)	Std.lv	Std.all
----------	---------	---------	---------	--------	---------

Speed ~~

Memory		-2.845	1.159	-2.454	0.014	-0.732	-0.732
--------	--	--------	-------	--------	-------	--------	--------

Error variances for the indicators and factors.

Variances:

Estimate	Std.Err	z-value	P(> z)	Std.lv	Std.all
----------	---------	---------	---------	--------	---------

.simple		520.791	68.468	7.606	0.000	520.791
---------	--	---------	--------	-------	-------	---------

0.763

.choice2		1246.433	607.127	2.053	0.040	1246.433
----------	--	----------	---------	-------	-------	----------

0.247

.choice4		4209.853	615.042	6.845	0.000	4209.853
----------	--	----------	---------	-------	-------	----------

0.659

.span		0.966	0.122	7.939	0.000	0.966
-------	--	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

0.899

.digits		6.132	0.992	6.183	0.000	6.132
---------	--	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

0.734

.blocks		2.299	0.300	7.657	0.000	2.299
---------	--	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

0.861

.fluid		12.292	3.786	3.247	0.001	12.292
--------	--	--------	-------	-------	-------	--------

0.617

.Speed	161.706	60.036	2.693	0.007	0.999
--------	---------	--------	-------	-------	-------

0.999

.Memory	0.093	0.061	1.519	0.129	0.865
---------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

0.865

Proportions of explained variance for the indicators

Results listed next are reported in Table 3 of the chapter.

R-Square:

	Estimate
simple	0.237
choice2	0.753
choice4	0.341
span	0.101
digits	0.266
blocks	0.139
fluid	0.383
Speed	0.001
Memory	0.135

Estimates for indirect, total indirect, and total effects of ADHD on fluid intelligence through speed and memory factors.

Defined Parameters:

	Estimate	Std.Err	z-value	P(> z)	Std.lv
Std.all					
ab	0.001	0.006	0.208	0.835	0.001
cd	-0.102	0.083	-1.227	0.220	-0.102

-

0.264

totind	-0.101	0.078	-1.281	0.200	-0.101	-
--------	--------	-------	--------	-------	--------	---

0.261

total	-0.065	0.032	-2.056	0.040	-0.065	-
-------	--------	-------	--------	-------	--------	---

0.170

Listed next are unstandardized estimates with 95% confidence intervals for all parameters and defined effects; these results are reported in Tables 1-3.

```
> parameterEstimates(model)
```

	lhs op	rhs	label	est	se	z	pvalue	ci.lower	ci.upper
1	Speed =~	simple		1.000	0.000	NA	NA	1.000	1.000
2	Speed =~	choice2		4.847	1.049	4.622	0.000	2.792	6.903
3	Speed =~	choice4		3.665	0.794	4.617	0.000	2.109	5.220
4	Memory =~	span		1.000	0.000	NA	NA	1.000	1.000
5	Memory =~	digits		4.533	1.591	2.848	0.004	1.414	7.652
6	Memory =~	blocks		1.856	0.733	2.532	0.011	0.419	3.293
7	Speed ~	adhd	a	0.033	0.103	0.323	0.747	-0.169	0.236
8	Memory ~	adhd	c	-0.010	0.005	-2.216	0.027	-0.020	

```

-0.001
9   fluid ~      Speed      b    0.037   0.135   0.272   0.786   -0.228
0.301
10  fluid ~      Memory     d    9.752   7.491   1.302   0.193   -4.930
24.433
11  fluid ~      adhd       e    0.035   0.080   0.437   0.662   -0.122
0.192
12  Speed ~~     Memory           -2.845   1.159  -2.454   0.014   -5.118
-0.573
13  simple ~~    simple           520.791  68.468   7.606   0.000   386.596
654.986
14  choice2 ~~   choice2          1246.433 607.127   2.053   0.040   56.485
2436.380
15  choice4 ~~   choice4          4209.853 615.042   6.845   0.000   3004.392
5415.314
16   span ~~     span           0.966   0.122   7.939   0.000    0.728
1.204
17  digits ~~    digits           6.132   0.992   6.183   0.000    4.188
8.076
18  blocks ~~    blocks           2.299   0.300   7.657   0.000    1.710
2.887
19  fluid ~~     fluid           12.292   3.786   3.247   0.001    4.871
19.713
20  Speed ~~     Speed           161.706 60.036   2.693   0.007   44.037
279.375
21  Memory ~~    Memory           0.093   0.061   1.519   0.129   -0.027

```

```

0.214
22   adhd ~~          adhd          134.304   0.000   NA      NA   134.304
134.304
23   ab :=           a*b      ab      0.001   0.006   0.208   0.835   -0.010
0.013
24   cd :=           c*d      cd      -0.102   0.083  -1.227   0.220   -0.264
0.061
25   totind :=    a*b+c*d totind   -0.101   0.078  -1.281   0.200   -0.254
0.053
26   total := e+a*b+c*d total   -0.065   0.032  -2.056   0.040   -0.128
-0.003

```

Listed next are standardized estimates with 95% confidence intervals for all parameters and defined effects; these results are reported in Tables 1-3. Some of the absolute lower or upper bounds for results interpreted as estimated correlations or as (1 - squared correlations) in the standardized solution exceed 1.0 and, thus, are invalid.

Invalid lower or upper bounds are listed next in boldface, and the corresponding bounds in Tables 1 and 3 are reported as 1.0.

```
> standardizedSolution(model)
```

	lhs op	rhs	est.std	se	z	pvalue	ci.lower	ci.upper
1	Speed =~	simple	0.487	0.078	6.254	0.000	0.334	0.640
2	Speed =~	choice2	0.868	0.070	12.343	0.000	0.730	1.006
3	Speed =~	choice4	0.584	0.073	7.955	0.000	0.440	0.727

4	Memory ==	span	0.317	0.096	3.293	0.001	0.128	0.506
5	Memory ==	digits	0.515	0.100	5.177	0.000	0.320	0.711
6	Memory ==	blocks	0.373	0.096	3.900	0.000	0.186	0.561
7	Speed ~	adhd	0.030	0.094	0.324	0.746	-0.154	0.215
8	Memory ~	adhd	-0.368	0.129	-2.856	0.004	-0.620	-0.115
9	fluid ~	Speed	0.105	0.385	0.272	0.786	-0.649	0.858
10	fluid ~	Memory	0.718	0.444	1.618	0.106	-0.152	1.588
11	fluid ~	adhd	0.091	0.208	0.437	0.662	-0.317	0.499
12	Speed ~~	Memory	-0.732	0.155	-4.712	0.000	-1.037	-0.428
13	simple ~~	simple	0.763	0.076	10.061	0.000	0.614	0.912
14	choice2 ~~	choice2	0.247	0.122	2.023	0.043	0.008	0.486
15	choice4 ~~	choice4	0.659	0.086	7.703	0.000	0.492	0.827
16	span ~~	span	0.899	0.061	14.728	0.000	0.780	1.019
17	digits ~~	digits	0.734	0.103	7.154	0.000	0.533	0.935
18	blocks ~~	blocks	0.861	0.071	12.048	0.000	0.721	1.001
19	fluid ~~	fluid	0.617	0.187	3.305	0.001	0.251	0.983
20	Speed ~~	Speed	0.999	0.006	174.592	0.000	0.988	1.010
21	Memory ~~	Memory	0.865	0.095	9.121	0.000	0.679	1.050
22	adhd ~~	adhd	1.000	0.000	NA	NA	1.000	1.000
23	ab :=	a*b	0.003	0.015	0.208	0.835	-0.027	0.033
24	cd :=	c*d	-0.264	0.214	-1.235	0.217	-0.683	0.155
25	totind :=	a*b+c*d	-0.261	0.202	-1.290	0.197	-0.657	0.136
26	total :=	e+a*b+c*d	-0.170	0.081	-2.101	0.036	-0.329	-0.011

**The variance-covariance matrix for the observed variables
predicted by the model with its unstandardized parameter
estimates.**

```
> fitted(model)

$cov
```

	simple	choic2	choic4	span	digits	blocks	fluid
adhd							
simple	682.647						
choice2	784.549	5049.304					
choice4	593.150	2875.121	6383.559				
span	-2.892	-14.018	-10.598	1.074			
digits	-13.108	-63.539	-48.038	0.490	8.351		
blocks	-5.367	-26.017	-19.670	0.200	0.909	2.671	
fluid	-22.103	-107.137	-81.000	0.898	4.070	1.667	19.929
adhd	4.486	21.745	16.440	-1.401	-6.351	-2.601	-8.795

```
134.304
```

Four types of residuals are listed next.

Raw residuals (i.e., covariance residuals) are differences between the observed and predicted variances or covariances for each pair of observed variables.

Because the measured variables do not all have a common metric, it can be difficult to interpret the meaning of covariance residuals.

For example, values of different covariance residuals, such as "13.781" (for the pair simple-choice2) and "4.083" (for the pair simple-digits) are not directly comparable because these three tasks all have different raw score metrics.

```
> residuals(model, type = "raw")
```

```

$type
[1] "raw"

$cov
      simple choic2 choic4 span  digits blocks fluid  adhd
simple      0.000
choice2 13.781  0.001
choice4 12.229 -36.436  0.000
span      1.809  2.236  4.802  0.000
digits     4.803  1.936 15.714  0.319  0.000
blocks     0.671 -9.982 -12.972 -0.048 -0.342  0.000
fluid     -1.225  5.627 -22.436  0.212 -0.071 -0.207  0.000
adhd       1.570 11.195 -53.477  0.801 -1.686  0.517  0.000
0.000

```

Listed next are standardized residuals, or significance

tests of the corresponding covariance (raw) residuals

where the denominators are the standard errors of those

differences and the whole ratio is a normal deviate (z)

Some of these results, listed in boldface, are invalid

because the calculated value is so large that no meaningful

interpretation seems plausible.

This happens because the estimated standard errors for these

results are practically zero.

```
> # some results for standardized residuals are invalid
```

```
> residuals(model, type = "standardized")
```

```
$type
```

```
[1] "standardized"
```

```

$cov
      simple      choic2      choic4      span      digits blocks fluid
adhd
simple 723402.200
choice2      0.635 337530.036
choice4      0.130      -0.899 295681.630
span         0.929      0.638      0.867  0.000
digits       0.977      0.282      1.157  1.931  0.000
blocks       0.225     -1.733     -1.479 -0.437 -1.759  0.000
fluid       -0.177      1.249     -1.205  0.971 -0.183 -0.617
0.000
adhd         0.074      0.705     -0.910  1.085 -1.212  0.432
154572529.364 0.000

```

The standard errors (denominators) for normalized residuals are those for the corresponding observed covariance, not for the difference between the observed and predicted covariances. It is computationally more difficult to estimate standard errors of difference between a pair of covariances than for the standard error of one of those covariances, especially in small samples.

These results are all valid and, as expected, values of absolute normal deviates for the normalized results are generally smaller than those for the corresponding standardized residuals.

```
> residuals(model, type = "normalized")
```

```
$type
```

```
[1] "normalized"
```

```
$cov
```

```

      simple choic2 choic4 span  digits blocks fluid  adhd
simple    0.000
choice2  0.081  0.000
choice4  0.067 -0.068  0.000
span      0.795  0.357  0.689  0.000
digits    0.754  0.108  0.803  1.226  0.000
blocks    0.186 -0.978 -1.149 -0.337 -0.857  0.000
fluid     -0.123  0.201 -0.720  0.532 -0.063 -0.332  0.000
adhd      0.062  0.162 -0.689  0.795 -0.618  0.327  0.000  0.000

```

Correlation residuals, or differences between sample correlations and those predicted by the model for each pair of measured variables, are listed next.

The designation "cor.bollen" means that the sample covariance matrix and the predicted (fitted) covariance matrix were each converted to a correlation matrix based on their own respective variances (the diagonals) before residuals were calculated.

These results are reported in Table 4.

```
> residuals(model, type = "cor")
```

```
$type
```

```
[1] "cor.bollen"
```

```
$cov
```

```

      simple choic2 choic4 span  digits blocks fluid  adhd
simple    0.000
choice2  0.007  0.000

```

```

choice4  0.006 -0.006  0.000
span      0.067  0.030  0.058  0.000
digits    0.064  0.009  0.068  0.107  0.000
blocks    0.016 -0.086 -0.099 -0.028 -0.072  0.000
fluid     -0.011  0.018 -0.063  0.046 -0.005 -0.028  0.000
adhd      0.005  0.014 -0.058  0.067 -0.050  0.027  0.000  0.000

```

**Estimated power for the model chi-square test
of exact fit based on the population RMSEA
under null and alternative hypotheses.**

```

> # estimate power of chi-square test
> # null value is rmsea = 0

```

Calculation of population RMSEA for a model chi-square with df = 16 that is significant at the .05 level, which is 26.296.

```

> # alternative value is .068
> # rmsea = SQRT ((26.296 - 16)/(141 * 16)) = .068
> # where 26.296 is critical chi-square (16) at .05

```

Parameters are null RMSEA, alternative RMSEA, df, N, level of alpha, and number of groups.

```

> findRMSEApower(0, .068, 16, 142, .05, 1)

```

```

[1] 0.4663349

```

```

> # determine minimum sample size for power = .90

```

New parameter is minimum power, .90.

```

> findRMSEAsamplesize(0, .068, 16, .90, .05, 1)

```

```

[1] 328

```

```

>

```

¹The output is from the freely available R packages lavaan (Latent Variable Analysis; version 0.6-6; Rosseel, 2012) and semTools (version 0.5-2; Jorgensen et al., 2019). Annotations (comments) in the output, designated by the symbol “#” in the first column, are original.

References

Jorgensen, T. D., Pornprasertmanit, S., Schoemann, A. M., & Rosseel, Y. (2019). *semTools*:

Useful tools for structural equation modeling (R package 0.5-2). [https://CRAN.R-](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=semTools)

[project.org/package=semTools](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=semTools)

Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling. *Journal of Statistical*

Software, 48(2), 1–36. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v048.i02>