

SUBMISSION QUESTIONNAIRE



Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance
AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION 750 First Street NE, Washington, D.C 20002

Submission Questionnaire

When submitting to the journal, authors will be asked to answer the following questions. The questions are built into the peer review system (Editorial Manager), so this file does not need to be submitted with the manuscript. If you have any questions, please contact the editorial office.

1. I have read the Instructions to Authors on the [Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance](#) website. Please note that failure to do so may result in our returning the paper to you without external review.
2. I have included the names and contact information of all authors in a previous submission step. Inclusion of such information indicates that these individuals have agreed to be an author.
3. I have identified in the cover letter at least one area of specialization (more if applicable) that is different from the central focus of your work, and in which your work may have a more distal impact, whether or not the implications are explicitly discussed in the manuscript.
4. I have considered the most frequent reasons that changes are requested before external review (and addressed potential problems before submission).

This list includes:

- The theoretical motivation for the work, and its theoretical impact, are not clearly stated in the Introduction and General Discussion.
 - A case for sufficient a priori power (or precision) for each experiment is not made, in the context of null hypothesis significance testing (NHST).
 - Claims are made based on null effects in the context of null hypothesis significance testing. Bayesian statistics can be reported, both alone or in combination with NHST, but the Journal does not consider it an acceptable practice to report Bayesian statistics only for null results.
 - Effect sizes for all results that are of theoretical importance, regardless of significance, are not included.
 - Demographic information is not included for each experiment.
5. This manuscript has been prepared consistent with *APA Publication Manual* (7th ed.) guidelines?
 6. I have included a Public Significance Statement in the manuscript, following the Abstract?

7. I have obtained permission to reproduce or adapt any copyrighted material from other sources and am able to provide documentation for this permission.
8. I agree to comply with APA Ethics Code Standard 8.14a, Sharing Research Data for Verification, allowing other qualified professionals to confirm the analyses and results should my manuscript be accepted for publication. Per APA guidelines I will retain raw data for a minimum of 5 years after publication of the research.
9. If my manuscript is accepted for publication, I agree to transfer copyright to APA per the guidelines on the APA Publication Rights Form.
10. If masked review is required (or requested), I have followed instructions to adequately conceal the identity of all authors to ensure masked review.
11. If my manuscript submission includes supplemental materials, I have explained the relevance of these materials in the cover letter, in the article itself, and within the supplemental materials.
12. Was this submission funded by a grant from NIH, Wellcome Trust or the Research Councils UK? If yes, please enter the specifics of your grant below.
13. Grant Number(s):
14. Original color figures can be printed in color at the editor's and publisher's discretion, provided the author agrees to pay a portion of the cost. A list of the color figure prices charged to the author is available [here](#). Please indicate which statement applies to your manuscript:
15. If your paper is accepted, do you have any disclosures that would need to be listed on the [Full Disclosure of Interests form](#)? This includes any interests or activities that might be seen as influencing the research (e.g., financial interests in a test or procedure, funding by pharmaceutical companies for research).
16. Did your study receive research ethics committee (e.g., Institutional review board) approval? (Please note this information should also be stated in your Method section.)

17. Did you clearly identify exploratory analyses in the text? Exploratory analyses should be identified and would usually not be accompanied by inferential statistics, but instead focus on reporting effect sizes and making predictions for confirmatory replication. Exploratory analyses include those that were not planned a priori, and those that were suggested by reviewers or editors, use “stepwise” selection of variables, or otherwise make use of undisclosed degrees of freedom.
18. I have stated whether or not any work was preregistered and, if so, where to access the preregistration. If any aspect of the study is preregistered, I have included the registry link in the Method section and the Author Note. For example: This study’s design was preregistered; see [STABLE LINK OR DOI]; This study’s design and hypotheses were preregistered; see [STABLE LINK OR DOI]; or This study was not preregistered.
19. I have included a subsection in the Method section labeled “Transparency and openness” that includes a statement regarding our compliance with the TOP guidelines. I have also reported the year of data collection in the Method section and included a statement on the constraints of generality of the findings.
20. I have read and agree to follow the [APA Journals Internet Posting Guidelines](#).
21. I attest that this manuscript is not being considered by another journal nor has it been published elsewhere. If applicable, I have provided information in my cover letter about any closely related manuscripts that have been submitted for consideration to the same or another journal. If the manuscript has been previously published in conference proceedings, I have explained this in my cover letter.
22. I verify that if this manuscript describes a study wherein humans or animals were subjects, the treatment of those subjects was in accordance with established ethical guidelines and appropriate institutional approval has been obtained.